

UDC 327

DOI: 10.34670/AR.2020.19.33.031

The institutional basis of Eurasian integration: a case study of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly (1992-2020)

Andrei V. Shabaga

Doctor of Philosophy,
Professor at the Department of the theory and history of international relations,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,
117198, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya st., Moscow, Russian Federation;
e-mail: shabaga-av@rudn.ru

Dar'ya V. Stanis

PhD in Economics,
Associate Professor at the Department of state and municipal administration,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,
117198, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya st., Moscow, Russian Federation;
e-mail: stanis-dv@rudn.ru

Oleg K. Petrovich-Belkin

PhD in History,
Associate Professor at the Department of the theory and history of international relations,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,
117198, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya st., Moscow, Russian Federation;
e-mail: petrovich-belkin-ok@rudn.ru

This research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 19-18-00165).

Abstract

The article aims to examine the history of the creation and the main activities of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States as one of the institutions of the Commonwealth of Independent States. The authors of the article make an attempt to consider the conceptual and regulatory basis of the organization, as well as to carry out a quantitative analysis of the legal acts adopted by the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States. The data obtained as a result of the research made it possible to identify four principal aspects of the activities of the organization, including the unification and harmonization of the legal basis of the member nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States, the intensification of economic and trade cooperation, the deepening of the political cooperation and interaction aimed at ensuring regional

and global security, and the intensification of humanitarian and cultural cooperation among the member nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States. The authors also identify the role and significance of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States in the activation and intensification of political and economic integration in the Eurasian space.

For citation

Shabaga A.V., Stanis D.V., Petrovich-Belkin O.K. (2020) The institutional basis of Eurasian integration: a case study of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly (1992-2020). *Teorii i problemy politicheskikh issledovaniy* [Theories and Problems of Political Studies], 9 (6A), pp. 81-88. DOI: 10.34670/AR.2020.19.33.031

Keywords

Interparliamentary Assembly, Eurasian Economic Union, Commonwealth of Independent States, integration, international relations, foreign policy.

Introduction

The process of interparliamentary interaction in the post-Soviet space is carried out by deputies of legislative bodies of the CIS countries in various forms and at various levels. In particular, it can be a question of episodic (non-permanent) interaction of deputies, which can be carried out both in an interpersonal format and as part of official parliamentary delegations. However, a different format of cooperation – interaction on a permanent, institutional basis that allows building constructive relations within the framework of certain specialized bodies and organizations – seems to be more effective and promising. In the post-Soviet space between 1991 and 2020, there were 3 such institutions: the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), the Parliamentary Assembly of the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO) and the interparliamentary Assembly of the Eurasian Economic Community (2006-2014, before the transformation of the EurAsEC into the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEC)).

The purpose of this article is to review the history and main directions of activity of one of the largest of the three above-mentioned structures – the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly. In addition, the authors intend to assess the degree of influence of this organization on the dynamics and intensity of the Eurasian integration process in political, socio-economic and cultural and humanitarian spheres.

Given the objective need to deepen and expand multilateral and multi-format integration in the post-Soviet space, the study of interparliamentary cooperation of the CIS states seems more than significant and relevant. From the point of view of the authors of this article, the effectiveness and dynamics of the Eurasian integration will largely depend not only on how intensive the interaction between the executive authorities of the CIS countries will be, but also on whether the legislative bodies of the respective states will be able to establish a constructive and fruitful dialogue between them.

The history of the creation and regulatory framework of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly

The Agreement on the Establishment of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States was signed in Alma-Ata on March 27, 1992, i. e. a little over 3 months after the collapse of the Soviet Union and the formation of the Commonwealth of Independent States (December 8, 1991). The

signatories to this agreement were Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

Two years later, on March 18, 1994, two other legal acts regulating the activity of the organization were adopted – the Regulations on the Permanent Commissions of the Interparliamentary Assembly and the Regulations of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member States of the Commonwealth of Independent States.

In May 1995 the existing conceptual and legal basis for the activity of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly was supplemented by another document – the Convention on the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States, the text of which clearly described the procedure of formation, financing and terms of reference of this organization. Among other significant normative legal acts regulating the activity of the Assembly one can also mention the Agreement between the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Russian Government on the Conditions of the Interparliamentary Assembly's Stay in the Russian Federation, the Regulations on the Youth Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States and the Regulations on the Secretariat of the Council of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States.

Currently, the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly includes the legislative assemblies of ten CIS countries and, as an observer, representatives of the National Assembly (Wolesi Jirga) of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan are present.

The main activities of the Assembly

Since its foundation in 1992, the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly has adopted more than 600 legislative acts, resolutions and other regulatory documents.

The authors of this article have attempted to quantitatively analyze a significant part of these legislative acts, which resulted in the identification of fundamental interests and key areas of the Assembly's activities. In particular, the most attention of the organization was focused on the following areas.

The first and main direction of the Assembly's activity is the harmonization and rapprochement of the national legislation of the CIS member states, aimed at forming a common legal space within the Commonwealth. The main tool for achieving this goal is the adoption of recommendations and the so-called "model" (i. e., employees as a model) legislative acts. At least 400 (more than 65%) out of 600 regulatory documents mentioned above, were related to such "model" legislative acts.

The harmonization of the national legislation of the CIS countries can be considered as one of the most important and integral prerequisites for the development and deepening of the Eurasian integration. Moreover, the formation of a common legal space is a necessary and inevitable step towards the intensification of international cooperation between member states not only of the CIS, but also of other integration associations in the post-Soviet space – in particular, the EAEC and CSTO.

The second key area of activity of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly can be called expansion of economic cooperation among the CIS member states. According to the authors' estimates, over the period 1992-2020, more than half (50%, more than 300 documents) of the legislative acts adopted by the Assembly were devoted to the economic aspect in one way or another. The most popular subjects of these acts, as well as relevant recommendations, were energy cooperation; measures to create a single market and eliminate customs barriers and duties (primarily, this applies to the EAEC countries); promotion of business activity and investments within the CIS; increasing mutual trade turnover, as

well as developing a set of measures to strengthen the financial and banking systems of the CIS member states.

The next, third, aspect of the Assembly's activity is the political direction. In particular, it is about observing the course of parliamentary and presidential elections in the post-Soviet space, assessing the degree of their transparency and democracy, as well as activation of international political cooperation. It also includes legislative support for peacekeeping operations in the post-Soviet space, cooperation in regional security, the fight against international terrorism and the development of a joint, consolidated position of the CIS countries with respect to certain topical political issues and problems on the international agenda. According to the authors' assessment, the political aspect of the activities of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly can be attributed to about 40-45% of the total number of model bills (about 260-270 documents and recommendations) adopted by the organization.

The fourth most important direction of the Assembly is the activation of cultural and humanitarian cooperation and dialogue between the CIS countries. This aspect is devoted to about 100-130 documents adopted by the organization, about 15-25% of the total number of model bills. This category includes such areas as cooperation in science and technology (including support for advanced, innovative technologies), the organization of various joint cultural events (art exhibitions, music and film festivals, theater seasons, etc.), the holding of cross years of culture in the post-Soviet space (for example, 2020 was the cross year of Kirgizia in Russia and Russia in Kirgizia), support for the Russian language as the dominant mode of communication in the Commonwealth of Independent States. From the point of view of the authors of this article, the intensification of cultural and humanitarian dialogue between CIS member states is, along with the political and socioeconomic aspect of interaction, one of the key prerequisites for further deepening of Eurasian integration.

Along with the four above-mentioned priorities of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly (the harmonization of legislation, trade and economic cooperation, political dialogue, cultural and humanitarian cooperation), the organization actively focuses its attention on the intensification of other, narrower areas of cooperation. In particular, about 50 model bills and recommendations (about 8-10% of the total number) were devoted to such topics as activation of youth cooperation in the post-Soviet space (including within the Assembly itself), protection of political and socio-economic human rights, cooperation with other international organizations (in particular, with the OSCE, Council of Europe, CSTO, etc.), study of the history of parliamentarism, etc. Thus, the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly actually deals with the whole range of political, economic, cultural and humanitarian issues of importance for Russia and other CIS countries.

Conclusion

Summing up the topic under consideration, it seems appropriate to emphasize once again a number of the most significant points.

- 1) The history of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly begins a few months after the collapse of the Soviet Union and the formation of the Commonwealth. Over the next 28 years, the organization has developed an extensive legal and conceptual framework that allows the Assembly to act efficiently and effectively, complementing the ramified structure of other CIS bodies and institutions.
- 2) The main form of the Assembly's activity is the preparation of recommendations and so-called "model" bills. In the period 1992-2020, more than 600 such documents were prepared.

- 3) The quantitative analysis of the abovementioned legislative acts allowed to highlight four main directions of the Assembly's activity: harmonization and unification of national legislations of the CIS member states (more than 400 documents); trade-economic and energy aspect of cooperation (more than 300 model acts); political interaction and cooperation in the sphere of regional security provision (about 270 documents); and finally, cultural and humanitarian cooperation (100-130 documents). With the exception of these four areas, there are a number of other, less significant aspects of the organization's activities.
- 4) Despite the fact that the documents adopted by the Assembly are often recommendatory, it is necessary to note the indisputable importance of the organization's activity for further deepening and intensification of the Eurasian integration process. In particular, from the point of view of the authors, the second, trade and economic area of the Assembly's activity is especially significant, allowing to contribute to strengthening the economic basis of both the CIS and the Eurasian Economic Union.

References

1. Breuning M. (2007) *Foreign policy analysis: a comparative introduction*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
2. Degterev D., Kurylev K. (eds.) (2019) *Foreign policies of the CIS states: a comprehensive reference*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
3. Freire M.R. (2018) The quest for status: how the interplay of power, ideas, and regime security shapes Russia's policy in the post-Soviet space. *International politics*, 56, pp. 795-809.
4. Karaganov S., Suslov D. (2018) A new world order: a view from Russia. In: *Multipolarity: the promise of disharmony*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus Verlag, pp. 59-82.
5. *Konventsiya o Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblee gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimyykh Gosudarstv* [Convention on the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/344.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
6. Kurylev K.P., Naryshkin V.S., Ozinkovskaya E., Rakhimov K.Kh. (2016) Evraziiskii ekonomicheskii soyuz vo vneshnepoliticheskoi strategii Rossii [The Eurasian Economic Union in Russia's foreign policy strategy]. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta druzhby narodov. Seriya: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya* [Bulletin of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Series: International relations], 16 (1), pp. 75-86.
7. Kurylev K.P., Ngoyan A.L., Palacios E.C., Skudina O.V. (2016) Neuregulirovannyye konflikty na postsovetском prostranstve v analize zapadnykh ekspertno-analiticheskikh tsentrov [Unsettled conflicts in the post-Soviet space in the analysis of the Western research centers]. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta druzhby narodov. Seriya: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya* [Bulletin of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Series: International relations], 16 (3), pp. 482-493.
8. Mearsheimer J.J. (1994/95) The false promise of international institutions. *International security*, 19 (3), pp. 5-49.
9. Monaghan A. (2016) *The new politics of Russia: interpreting change*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
10. *Polozhenie o Molodezhnoi mezhpardamentskoi assamblee gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimyykh Gosudarstv* [The Regulations on the Youth Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/522.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
11. *Polozhenie o postoyannykh komissiyakh Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei* [The Regulations on the Permanent Commissions of the Interparliamentary Assembly]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/348.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
12. *Polozhenie o Sekretariate Soveta Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimyykh Gosudarstv* [The Regulations on the Secretariat of the Council of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/349.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
13. *Reglament Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimyykh Gosudarstv* [The Regulations of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member States of the Commonwealth of Independent States]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/347.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
14. Satvaldiev N.A. (2012) Analiz deyatelnosti Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei Sodruzhestva Nezavisimyykh Gosudarstv (2002-2012 gg.) [Analysis of the activities of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Commonwealth of Independent States (2002-2012)]. *Nauka i novyye tekhnologii* [Science and new technologies], 4, pp. 259-262.
15. Simons G. (2020) Russian foreign policy and public diplomacy: meeting 21st century challenges. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta druzhby narodov. Seriya: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya* [Bulletin of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Series: International relations], 20 (3), pp. 491-503.

16. *Soglashenie mezhdru Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimykh Gosudarstv i Pravitel'stvom Rossiiskoi Federatsii ob usloviyakh prebyvaniya Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblei na territorii Rossiiskoi Federatsii* [The Agreement between the Interparliamentary Assembly of the Member Nations of the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Russian Government on the Conditions of the Interparliamentary Assembly's Stay in the Russian Federation]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/351.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].
17. *Soglashenie o Mezhpardamentskoi Assamblee gosudarstv – uchastnikov Sodruzhestva Nezavisimykh Gosudarstv* [The Agreement on the Establishment of the Interparliamentary Assembly of the CIS Member States]. Available at: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/346.pdf> [Accessed 12/10/20].

**Институциональная основа евразийской
интеграции: на примере Межпарламентской Ассамблеи
государств – участников СНГ (1992-2020 гг.)**

Шаблага Андрей Владимирович

Доктор философских наук,
профессор кафедры теории и истории международных отношений,
Российский университет дружбы народов,
117198, Российская Федерация, Москва, ул. Миклухо-Маклая, 6;
e-mail: shabaga-av@rudn.ru

Станис Дарья Владимировна

Кандидат экономических наук,
доцент кафедры государственного и муниципального управления,
Российский университет дружбы народов,
117198, Российская Федерация, Москва, ул. Миклухо-Маклая, 6;
e-mail: stanis-dv@rudn.ru

Петрович-Белкин Олег Константинович

Кандидат исторических наук,
доцент кафедры теории и истории международных отношений,
Российский университет дружбы народов,
117198, Российская Федерация, Москва, ул. Миклухо-Маклая, 6;
e-mail: petrovich-belkin-ok@rudn.ru

Работа поддержана Российским научным фондом (проект № 19-18-00165).

Аннотация

В статье рассматриваются история создания и основные направления деятельности Межпарламентской Ассамблеи Содружества Независимых Государств. Описываются концептуальные и нормативные основы организации. Проводится количественный анализ правовых актов, принятых парламентской Ассамблеей Содружества Независимых

Государств. Полученные данные позволили выделить четыре основных направления деятельности организации: унификация и гармонизация правовой базы государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств, активизация торгово-экономического сотрудничества, углубление политического сотрудничества и взаимодействия по обеспечению региональной и глобальной безопасности, активизация гуманитарного и культурного сотрудничества между заинтересованными государствами. Делается вывод о роли и значении Межпарламентской Ассамблеи Содружества Независимых Государств в активизации и интенсификации политической и экономической интеграции в евразийском пространстве.

Для цитирования в научных исследованиях

Шабага А.В., Станис Д.В., Петрович-Белкин О.К. The institutional basis of Eurasian integration: a case study of the CIS Interparliamentary Assembly (1992-2020) // Теории и проблемы политических исследований. 2020. Том 9. № 6А. С. 81-88. DOI: 10.34670/AR.2020.19.33.031

Ключевые слова

Межпарламентская Ассамблея, Евразийский экономический союз, Содружество Независимых Государств, интеграция, международные отношения, внешняя политика.

Библиография

1. Конвенция о Межпарламентской Ассамблее государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/344.pdf>
2. Курылев К.П., Нарышкин В.С., Озинковская Е., Рахимов К.Х. Евразийский экономический союз во внешнеполитической стратегии России // Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Международные отношения. 2016. Т. 16. № 1. С. 75-86.
3. Курылев К.П., Нгоян А.Л., Паласиос К.Э., Скудина О.В. Неурегулированные конфликты на постсоветском пространстве в анализе западных экспертно-аналитических центров // Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Международные отношения. 2016. Т. 16. № 3. С. 482-493.
4. Положение о Молодежной межпарламентской ассамблее государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/522.pdf>
5. Положение о постоянных комиссиях Межпарламентской Ассамблеи. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/348.pdf>
6. Положение о Секретариате Совета Межпарламентской Ассамблеи государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/349.pdf>
7. Регламент Межпарламентской Ассамблеи государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/347.pdf>
8. Сатвалдиев Н.А. Анализ деятельности Межпарламентской Ассамблеи Содружества Независимых Государств (2002-2012 гг.) // Наука и новые технологии. 2012. № 4. С. 259-262.
9. Соглашение между Межпарламентской Ассамблеей государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств и Правительством Российской Федерации об условиях пребывания Межпарламентской Ассамблеи на территории Российской Федерации. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/351.pdf>
10. Соглашение о Межпарламентской Ассамблее государств – участников Содружества Независимых Государств. URL: <https://iacis.ru/public/upload/files/1/346.pdf>
11. Breuning M. Foreign policy analysis: a comparative introduction. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007. 217 p.
12. Degterev D., Kurylev K. (eds.) Foreign policies of the CIS states: a comprehensive reference. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2019. 517 p.
13. Freire M.R. The quest for status: how the interplay of power, ideas, and regime security shapes Russia's policy in the post-Soviet space // International politics. 2018. Vol. 56. P. 795-809.
14. Karaganov S., Suslov D. A new world order: a view from Russia // Multipolarity: the promise of disharmony. Frankfurt am Main: Campus Verlag, 2018. P. 59-82.

15. Mearsheimer J.J. The false promise of international institutions // *International security*. 1994/95. Vol. 19. No. 3. P. 5-49.
16. Monaghan A. *The new politics of Russia: interpreting change*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016. 184 p.
17. Simons G. Russian foreign policy and public diplomacy: meeting 21st century challenges // *Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Международные отношения*. 2020. Т. 20. № 3. С. 491-503.